arXiv:1609.05781v1 [math-ph] 10 Sep 2016

# QES extension of Calogero model associated with exceptional orthogonal polynomials

B. Basu-Mallick*

*Saha Institute of Nuclear Physics,*

*1/AF Bidhannagar, Kolkata-700064, India*

Bhabani Prasad Mandal†

*Department of Physics, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi-221005, India*

Pinaki Roy‡

*Physics and Applied Mathematics Unit,*

*Indian Statistical Institute, Kolkata-700108, India.*

## Abstract

By using the technique of supersymmetric quantum mechanics, we study a quasi exactly solvable (QES) extension of the $N$-particle rational Calogero model with harmonic confining interaction. Such QES many particle system, whose effective potential in the radial direction yields a supersymmetric partner of the radial harmonic oscillator, is constructed by including new long-range interactions to the rational Calogero model. An infinite number of bound state energy levels are obtained for this system under certain conditions. We also calculate the corresponding bound state wave functions in terms of the recently discovered exceptional orthogonal Laguerre polynomials.

PACS numbers:

*Electronic address: bireswar.basumallick@saha.ac.in

†Electronic address: bhabani.mandal@gmail.com

‡Electronic address: pinaki@isical.ac.in

The subject of exactly solvable (ES) many particle quantum systems [1–3] with long-range interaction continues to attract much attention due to its connection to diverse subjects like generalized exclusion statistics [4–6], random matrix theory [7], spin-chain models [8–13], Tomonaga-Luttinger liquid [14], quantum chaos [15] and black holes [16] and have been extended in various directions [17–23]. Rational Calogero model [1] which describes $N$ particles on a line with pairwise long-range interacting potential is one of the most important and well known among these ES many particle quantum systems. Inspired by its successes and wide applicability in various branches of physics, it is of considerable interest to find new ES $N$-body problem.

The purpose of the present work is to extend $N$-particle Calogero model by including quasi exactly solvable (QES) long-range interactions. As a tool we shall use the technique of supersymmetric quantum mechanics [24] to construct a QES $N$-particle system, whose effective potential in the radial direction would become a supersymmetric partner to the ES radial harmonic oscillator potential and represent a conditionally exactly solvable system (CES) where two parameters are related in a certain way. Due to supersymmetry, exact expressions for an infinite number of bound state energy levels of this QES many particle system with CES effective potential can be derived analytically. Indeed, within a certain sector, such QES many particle system would become iso-spectral to the Calogero model for which ES radial harmonic oscillator acts as the effective potential. We shall also explicitly calculate the wave functions for this QES system and it will be shown that the wavefunctions can be expressed in terms of the recently discovered exceptional Laguerre orthogonal polynomials [25–37].

We start with a Calogero like many particle system with arbitrary potential $U(\sqrt{N}r)$ depending on the 'radial' coordinate $r$, described by the Hamiltonian,

$$H = -\sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2} + \sum_{i<j}^{N} \frac{g}{(x_i - x_j)^2} + U(\sqrt{N}r) \tag{1}$$

where $g \geq -\frac{1}{2}$ and the radial coordinate is defined as $r = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N}\sum_{i<j}^{N}(x_i - x_j)^2}$. Our aim is to find out some suitable form of $U(\sqrt{N}r)$, which would lead to exactly solvable

normalizable solutions of the eigenvalue problem

$$H\psi(x) = E\psi(x)\,, \tag{2}$$

where $x \equiv (x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_N)$. To this end, we follow the standard method [1] and make an ansatz for $\psi(x)$ in a sector of configuration space corresponding to a definite ordering of particles (e.g., $x_1 \geq x_2 \geq \cdots \geq x_N$) as

$$\psi(x) = \prod_{i<j}(x_i - x_j)^{a+\frac{1}{2}} P_{k,q}(x)\phi(r), \tag{3}$$

where $a = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{1+2g}$ and $P_{k,q}(x)$'s are translationally invariant, symmetric, $k$-th order homogeneous polynomials satisfying the differential equations

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{\partial^2 P_{k,q}(x)}{\partial x_j^2} + \left(a + \frac{1}{2}\right) \sum_{j\neq k} \frac{1}{(x_j - x_k)} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}\right) P_{k,q}(x) = 0\,. \tag{4}$$

Note that the index $q$ in $P_{k,q}(x)$ can take any integral value ranging from 1 to $g(N,k)$, where $g(N,k)$ is the number of independent polynomials. The existence of such translationally invariant, symmetric and homogeneous polynomial solutions of (4) has been discussed by Calogero [1]. The radial part of the wave function, $\phi(r)$ then satisfies the differential equation

$$-\left[\phi''(r) + 2(k+b+1)\frac{1}{r}\phi'(r)\right] + [U(\sqrt{N}r) - E]\phi(r) = 0 \tag{5}$$

with $b = \frac{N(N-1)}{2}a + \frac{N(N+1)}{4} - 2$. To solve this radial equation we further substitute

$$\phi(r) = r^{-(l+1)}\chi(r), \quad l = k + b \tag{6}$$

in Eq.(5) to obtain

$$-\chi''(r) + \left[\frac{l(l+1)}{r^2} + U(\sqrt{N}r)\right]\chi(r) = E\chi(r)\,. \tag{7}$$

Thus, for any given value of $k$, the eigenvalue problem of the many particle Hamiltonian (1) is reduced to that of an effective single particle Hamiltonian of the form

$$H_{eff} = -\frac{d^2}{dr^2} + U_k(\sqrt{N}r), \tag{8}$$

where

$$U_k(\sqrt{N}r) = \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2} + U(\sqrt{N}r)\,. \tag{9}$$

Combining Eqs. (3) and (6), we can express the eigenfunctions of $H$ in (1) through those of $H_{eff}$ in (8) as

$$\psi(x) = r^{-(l+1)} \prod_{i<j} (x_i - x_j)^{a+\frac{1}{2}} P_{k,q}(x)\chi(r), \tag{10}$$

Next, we will be using supersymmetric techniques to construct a novel form of $U(\sqrt{N}r)$, for which a class of eigenfunctions of the many particle Calogero like Hamiltonian (1) can be obtained exactly. To this end we recall the supersymmetric formulation of quantum mechanics [24], according to which a pair of Hamiltonians

$$H_\pm = A^\pm A^\mp = \left[-\frac{d^2}{dr^2} + V_\pm(r)\right] \quad \text{with} \quad V_\pm(r) = W^2(r) \pm W'(r) \tag{11}$$

where $W(r)$ is called the superpotential and

$$A^\pm = \left(\pm\frac{d}{dr} + W(r)\right) \tag{12}$$

forms a supersymmetric system. The above Hamiltonians are iso-spectral to each other except the ground state energy (normalized to be zero) associated to $H_-$ for unbroken SUSY. The relationship between the energies and corresponding eigenfunctions of these Hamiltonians are given by

$$\text{Unbroken SUSY}: \qquad E_0^- = 0\,; \quad E_{n+1}^- = E_n^+ > 0 \tag{13a}$$

$$\psi_0 = Ne^{-\int W(r)dr}, \quad \psi_n^+ = \frac{1}{\sqrt{E_{n+1}^-}} A^+\psi_{n+1}, \quad \psi_{n+1}^- = \frac{1}{\sqrt{E_n^+}} A^-\psi_n\,.$$

Broken SUSY:

$$E_n^- = E_n^+ > 0, \quad \psi_n^+ = \frac{1}{\sqrt{E_n^-}} A^+\psi_n, \quad \psi_n^- = \frac{1}{\sqrt{E_n^+}} A^-\psi_n\,. \tag{13b}$$

Now let us consider a specific superpotential of the form [38]

$$W(r) = r + \frac{2g_1 r}{1+g_1 r^2} + \frac{\alpha+1}{r}\,, \tag{14}$$

where $\alpha$ is a real positive parameter and the other parameter $g_1$ depends on $\alpha$ as

$$g_1 = \frac{2}{2\alpha+3}\,. \tag{15}$$

It is easy to see that for the above choice of superpotential, supersymmetry is broken. Now using Eq.(11) the partner potentials can be found to be

$$V_+(r) = r^2 + \frac{\alpha(\alpha+1)}{r^2} + 2\alpha + 7\,, \tag{16a}$$

$$V_-(r) = r^2 + \frac{(\alpha+1)(\alpha+2)}{r^2} - \frac{4g_1}{1+g_1r^2} + \frac{8g_1^2r^2}{(1+g_1r^2)^2} + 2\alpha + 5\,. \tag{16b}$$

One of the above mentioned partner potentials, namely, $V_+(r)$ is nothing but radial harmonic oscillator and the complete solution of the corresponding eigenvalue equation is given as,

$$E_n^+ = 4\left(n+\alpha+\frac{5}{2}\right), \qquad n = 0,1,2,\cdots \tag{17}$$

$$\chi_n^+(r) = \sqrt{\frac{n!}{\Gamma(n+\alpha+\frac{3}{2})}}\, r^{\alpha+1}e^{-r^2/2}L_n^{\alpha+1/2}(r^2)\,. \tag{18}$$

Now using the results of Eq.(13) for broken SUSY, we construct the solution for the partner system with potential $V_-(r)$ given in Eq.(16b) as

$$E_n^- = 4\left(n+\alpha+\frac{5}{2}\right), \tag{19}$$

$$\chi_n^-(r) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{E_n^+}}A^-\chi_n^+(r)\,. \tag{20}$$

Then using Eqs.(12) and (14) the wavefunction in Eq.(20) can be written as

$$\chi_n^-(r) \;= \sqrt{\frac{4(n!)}{E_n^+\Gamma(n+\alpha+\frac{3}{2})}}r^{\alpha+2}e^{-r^2/2}\left[\frac{1+g_1+g_1r^2}{1+g_1r^2}L_n^{\alpha+1/2}(r^2) + L_{n-1}^{\alpha+3/2}(r^2)\right], \quad (\text{with } L_{-1}^{\alpha}=0) \tag{21}$$

where we have used the property $\frac{d^k}{dr^k}L_n^{\alpha}(r) = (-)^k L_{n-k}^{\alpha+k}(r)$ if $k \le n$, and $=0$ for $k > n$ for usual Laguerre polynomials. Now using the relation [27, 28]

$$\hat{L}_{n,m}^k(r^2) = L_m^k(-r^2)L_{n-m}^{k-1}(r^2) + L_m^{k-1}(-r^2)L_{n-m-1}^k(r^2), \quad n \ge m \tag{22}$$

where $\hat{L}^{k}_{n,m}(r^2)$ denotes the Exceptional $X_m$ Laguerre polynomial, the above wavefunction can be written in terms of Exceptional Laguerre polynomial as

$$\chi_n^-(r) = \sqrt{\frac{4(n!)}{E_n^+\Gamma(n+\alpha+\frac{3}{2})}}r^{\alpha+2}e^{-r^2/2}\frac{1}{L_1^{\alpha+1/2}(-r^2)}\hat{L}^{\alpha+3/2}_{n+1,1}(r^2). \tag{23}$$

However, it should be stressed that, the eigenvalue problem associated with the partner potential $V_-(r)$ in (16b) can be solved exactly in the above mentioned way only when the parameter $g_1$ depends on $\alpha$ through the relation (15). Hence the Hamiltonian corresponding to $V_-(r)$ represents a CES [37].

It is well known that $V_+(r)$ in (16a) can be used to generate the exactly solvable Calogero model with harmonic confining interaction. Indeed, equating the effective potential $U_k(\sqrt{N}r)$ in (9) with the radial harmonic oscillator potential $V_+(r)$ in (16a) (up to some additive constant), one obtains

$$U(\sqrt{N}r) = r^2 + \frac{\alpha(\alpha+1) - l(l+1)}{r^2}, \tag{24}$$

where $l = k + b$ and $\alpha$ is a free parameter. Even though $U(\sqrt{N}r)$ in (24) depends on $k$ for an arbitrary choice of the parameter $\alpha$, one can take $\alpha = l$ and obtain $U(\sqrt{N}r) = r^2$ which no longer depends on $k$. By essentially using this procedure and taking advantage of the fact that $V_+(r)$ in (16a) represents an exactly solvable system, Calogero has shown that the many particle system (1) with $U(\sqrt{N}r) = r^2$ can be solved exactly for all possible values of $k$.

For our present purpose, we equate the effective potential $U_k(\sqrt{N}r)$ in (9) with the CES potential $V_-(r)$ in (16b), i.e., we take $U_k(\sqrt{N}r) = V_-(r)$. In this way, we obtain a new form of $U(\sqrt{N}r)$ given by

$$U(\sqrt{N}r) = r^2 + \frac{(\alpha+1)(\alpha+2) - l(l+1)}{r^2} - \frac{4g_1}{1+g_1r^2} + \frac{8g_1^2r^2}{(1+g_1r^2)^2} + 2\alpha + 5\,, \tag{25}$$

where $l = k+b$, $\alpha$ is a free parameter and $g_1$ depends on $\alpha$ through the relation (15). Since $g_1$ depends on $\alpha$, it is clearly not possible to choose any special value of the parameter $\alpha$ (like, for example, $\alpha = l - 1$) so that $U(\sqrt{N}r)$ in (25) becomes independent of $k$. Hence, the many particle system (1) with $U(\sqrt{N}r)$ given in (25) represents a QES, which

can be solved exactly only for a particular value of $k$. From the above discussion it is evident that, the CES nature of single particle potential $V_-(r)$ in (16b) is responsible for the QES nature of the many particle system (1) with $U(\sqrt{N}r)$ given in (25). Using the known eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of the single particle system associated with $V_-(r)$, we shall now derive infinite number of exact eigenvalues and eigenfunctions (related to a particular value of $k$) for the many particle system (1) with $U(\sqrt{N}r)$ of the form (25). Indeed, by using Eq. (19), it is easy to obtain such eigenvalues as

$$E_n = 4\left(n + \alpha + \frac{5}{2}\right), \qquad n = 0, 1, 2, \cdots . \tag{26}$$

Furthermore, substituting the expression of $\chi_n^-(r)$ given in Eq. (23) to the place of $\chi(r)$ in Eq. (10), we obtain the corresponding (unnormalized) eigenfunctions as

$$\psi_n(x) = r^{\alpha-l+1}e^{-r^2/2}\frac{1}{L_1^{\alpha+1/2}(-r^2)}\hat{L}_{n+1,1}^{\alpha+3/2}(r^2)\prod_{i<j}(x_i - x_j)^{a+\frac{1}{2}}P_{k,q}(x)\,. \tag{27}$$

Since the ground state of the original Calogero model is obtained in the special case $k = 0$ and $n = 0$, it is interesting to check the form of eigenvalue (26) and the corresponding eigenfunction (27) for this special case. By putting $n = 0$ in (26), we obtain $E_0 = 4\left(\alpha + \frac{5}{2}\right)$. Moreover, by putting $k = 0$ and $n = 0$ in (27), and also using the fact that $l = b$ and $P_{k,q}(x) = 1$ for $k = 0$, we obtain the corresponding eigenfunction as

$$\psi_0(x) = r^{\alpha-b+1}e^{-r^2/2}\frac{1}{L_1^{\alpha+1/2}(-r^2)}\hat{L}_{1,1}^{\alpha+3/2}(r^2)\prod_{i<j}(x_i - x_j)^{a+\frac{1}{2}}\,.$$

Using Eq. (22), one can further simplify the above eigenfunction as

$$\psi_0(x) = r^{\alpha-b+1}e^{-r^2/2}\left(\frac{r^2 + \alpha + 5/2}{r^2 + \alpha + 3/2}\right)\prod_{i<j}(x_i - x_j)^{a+\frac{1}{2}}\,. \tag{28}$$

It is interesting to note that our results for the single particle CES potential $V_-(r)$ in Eq. (16b) are consistent with the results obtained through point canonical transformation (PCT) approach[40] to extend the radial harmonic oscillator rationally. Using PCT approach one can obtain the most general rationally extended radial oscillator potential

[28]

$$V_m(r) = r^2 + \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2} - \frac{4r^2 L_{m-2}^{l+3/2}(-r^2)}{L_m^{l-1/2}(-r^2)} + 2(2r^2+2l-1)\frac{4r^2 L_{m-2}^{l+1/2}(-r^2)}{L_m^{l-1/2}(-r^2)} + 8r^2[\frac{4r^2 L_{m-2}^{l+3/2}(-r^2)}{L_m^{l-1/2}(-r^2)}]^2 - 4 \qquad (29)$$

whose bound state solutions for the energy eigenvalues $E_n = (4n+2l+3)$ $n = 0, 1, 2\cdots$ are written in terms of $X_m$ exceptional Laguerre Polynomials as

$$\chi_{n,m}(r^2) = N_{n,m}\frac{x^{l+1}\exp(-r^2/2)}{L_m^{l-1/2}(-r^2)}\hat{L}_{n+m,m}^{l+1/2}(r^2) \qquad (30)$$

Where $N_{n,m} = [\frac{(n-m)!}{(l+1/2+n)\Gamma(l+1/2+n-m)}]^{1/2}$ and $\hat{L}_{n+m,m}^{l+1/2}(r^2)$ is $X_m$ exceptional orthogonal Laguerre polynomial, $m = 0$ corresponds to usual Laguerre polynomials. Now we note that $m = 1$ corresponds to the case we discus in the context of Calogero Model as the potential

$$V_1 = r^2 + \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2} - \frac{8}{2r^2+2l+1} + \frac{32r^2}{(2r^2+2l+1)^2} \qquad (31)$$

calculated from Eq.(29) is same as our $V_-(r)$ in Eq. (16b) when $l = (\alpha+1)$ and $g_1 = \frac{2}{(2\alpha+3)}$ after from a over constant term. The solution obtained in PCT approach in Eq.(30) for $m = 1$ is exactly same as we obtained in SUSY approach in Eq. (23).

In this article we have obtained an exactly solvable $N$ body problem with non trivial pairwise interacting potential. The potential is actually quasi exactly solvable since we have obtained solutions for particular values of the parameter $k$ and at the same time it is also conditionally exactly solvable since the parameter $g_1$ can assume only a particular value. In this context we would like to note that one may consider more general superpotentials of the form [37–39]

$$W_m(r) = r + \frac{\alpha+1}{r} + \sum_{i=1}^{m}\frac{2g_i r}{1+g_i r^2}\,, \qquad (32)$$

where $m$ is any positive integer, and derive a class of CES single particle potentials by using the methods of supersymmetry formalism. Similar to the $m = 1$ case which has been considered in this paper, the solutions of such CES potential with any value of $m$ can

also be expressed in terms of the recently discovered exceptional orthogonal polynomials of the Laguerre type. It is worth while to explore whether, for an arbitrary value of $m$, the CES single particle potential obtained from the superpotential (32) match with $V_m(r)$ in (29) which is obtained through the PCT approach. Furthermore, it would be interesting to use such CES single particle potentials to construct non trivial QES many body potentials by following the approach of this paper. Finally it may be noted that in this paper we have used a superpotential with broken supersymmetry to construct a QES Calogero like many particle system. We feel it would be of interest to investigate similar many particle systems for which supersymmetry is unbroken.

**Acknowledgements:** One of us (BPM) acknowledges support from theory division, SINP, Kolkata for a visitation, during which a part of the work has been carried out.

---

[1] F. Calagero, *J. Math. Phys.* **10**, 2191 (1969); *J. Math. Phys.* **12**, 419 (1971).

[2] F. Calogero, *J. Math. Phys.* **10**, (1969) 2197.

[3] B. Sutherland, *J. Math. Phys.* **12**, 246 (1971).

[4] F. D. M. Haldane, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **67**, 937 (1991).

[5] S.B. Isakov, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **B 8**, 319 (1994); M.V.N. Murthy and R. Shankar, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **73**, 3331 (1994).

[6] A.P. Polychronakos, in *Les Houches Lectures (Summer 1998)*, hep-th/9902157 and references therein.

[7] N. Taniguchi, B. S. Shastry and B. L. Altshuler, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **75**, 3724 (1995).

[8] F.D.M. Haldane, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **60**, 635 (1988).

[9] B.S. Shastry, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **60**, 639 (1988).

[10] A.P. Polychronakos, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **70**, 2329 (1993).

[11] F. Finkel and A. González-López, *Phys. Rev.* **B 72**, 174411(6) (2005).

[12] B. Basu-Mallick, N. Bondyopadhaya and D. Sen, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 795**, 596 (2008).

[13] B. Basu-Mallick, F. Finkel and A. González-López, *Phys. Rev.* **B 93**, 155154 (2016).

[14] N. Kawakami, S.-K. Yang, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **67**, 2493 (1991).

[15] B.D. Simons, P.A. Lee, B.L. Altshuler, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **72**, 64 (1994);

[16] G.W. Gibbons, P.K. Townsend, *Phys. Lett.* **B 454**, 187 (1999).

[17] M. A. Olshanetsky and A. M. Perelomov, *Phys. Rep.* **94**, 313 (1983).

[18] E. Corrigan and R. Sasaki, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **35**, 7017 (2002).

[19] B. Basu-Mallick and A. Kundu, *Phys. Rev.* **B 62**, 9927 (2000).

[20] A. Khare, *J. Phys. A : Math. Gen.* **29**, L45 (1996)

[21] N. Gurappa, C. N. Kumar and P. K. Panigrahi, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A 11**, 1737 (1996).

[22] B. Basu-Mallick, B P Mandal, *Phys. Lett. A* **284**, 231 (2001); B. Basu-Mallick, T Bhattacharya and B P Mandal, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **20**, 543 (2005).

[23] A. Fring, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A 21**, 691 (2006).

[24] E. Witten *Nucl. Phys. B* **188**, 513 (1981); F. Cooper, A. Khare and U. Sukhatme, Supersymmetric Quantum Mechanics, (world Scientific, Singapore, 2001)

[25] D. Gomez-Ullate, N. Kamran and R. Milson, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **359**, 352 (2009).

[26] D. Gomez-Ullate, N. Kamran and R. Milson, *J. Phys. A* **43**, 434016 (2010).

[27] D. Gomez-Ullate, N. Kamran and R. Milson, *J. Phys. A* ***43***, 434016 (2010)

[28] R. K Yadav, N. Kumari, A. Khare and B P Mandal, *arXiv.* 1412.5445.

[29] R. K. Yadav, A. Khare and B. P. Mandal, *Ann. of Phys.* **331**, 313 (2013); *Phys. Lett.* **B 723**, 433 (2013). *Phys. Lett* **A 379**, 67 (2014).

[30] S. Odake and R. Sasaki, *Phys. Lett B* **702**, 164 (2011); *Phys. Lett B* **679** , 414 (2009); *Phys Lett. B* **684**. 173 (2010).

[31] R. Sasaki, S. Tsujimoto and A. Zhedanor, *J. Phys. A* **43**, 315205 (2010).

[32] C-L. Ho, S. Odake and R. Sasaki, *SIGMA* **7**, 107 (2011).

[33] T. Tanaka, *J. Math. Phys* **51**, 032101 (2010).

[34] C. Quesne, *J Phys A* **41**, 392001 (2008).

[35] B Bagchi and C. Quesene, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **43**, 305301 (2010).

[36] Y Granditi, *Ann Phys.* **326**, 2074 (2011).

[37] G. Junker and P. Roy, *Phys. Lett A* **232**, 155 (1997).

[38] D. Dutta and P. Roy, *J. Math. Phys.* **51**, 042101 (2010).

[39] G Levai and P Roy, *Phys Lett A* **264**, 117 (1999).

[40] A. Anderson, Ann. phys. **232**, 292 (1994); R. Dutt, A. Khare and Y. P. Varshni, J. Phys. **A28**, (1995) L107.